

**IWSFG Template for reviewer comments and
IWSFG secretariat observation**

Document reviewed: **PAS 3**

Due date:2017//

1 Te=Technical, Ge=General, Ed=Editorial

Initial	Starting Line Number (e.g. 17)	Ending Line Number (e.g. 23)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Type of comment ¹	Comments	Proposed change	Observation of the secretariat
IPS	153	153	7.2	Te	0.5 degree tolerance in the slosh box mechanism is too tight because there is typically a half to one full degree in the motor of play itself. This tolerance is too tight on the unit and very difficult to adjust.	Change to ± 1 degree.	
IPS	205	205	9.1	Te	What is the preoccupation of double-bagging samples, including dry products?	Strike from method.	
IPS	227	228	10.1	Te	Trying to photograph the bottom of the sieve can lead to remnants falling from the sieve and affecting the final weight of product. Too many photographs taken.	Strike from method and photograph total remnants taken from sieve.	
IPS	236	236	10.2.1	Te	Why 4.5 L flush when most toilets are 6 L flush?	Change to 6 L flush.	
IPS	259	260	10.3	Te	Tolerance too tight and not easy to adjust or can't adjust at all.	Strike from method.	
IPS	399	399	Annex 2	Te	Why hold rinsed wipe in dry container for 30 minutes prior to testing?	Strike from method.	
IPS	401	404	A.3.1	Te	This shows how to measure slosh box angle but not how to calibrate it.	Add calibration method or strike from method.	
IPS	408	447	A.4.1	Te	Why don't you include the bucket method for rinsing product to be consistent with INDA method?	Add alternative rinsing method.	

