

Initials	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
SGS-IPS	134			Ge	Who has performed these methods and who is the point of contact for issues with these methods?		
SGS-IPS	184	6.3		Te	Third party validation and ISO accreditation will take months to a year or more to complete, since accreditation is based on performing the methods as written. Methods all need to be validated and need either a round robin or a certified standard to determine interlaboratory variabilities and precision.		
SGS-IPS	184	6.3		Ge	Has interlab variabilities with these methods been determined?		
SGS-IPS	198	6.4.1	2	Ed	We don't recommend this wording on behalf of the labs performing these methods. This type of certification, as well as name and logo use, will have legal and business implications. Therefore, the this should not be specified in these methods.	Remove from method.	
SGS-IPS				Ge	Why is there not a municipal pump test method?		
SGS-IPS				Ge	Why is the 6.3 mm sieve being used? While we have had little time to evaluate these methods, we have seen many bath tissues are unable to pass this criteria.	Insert 12.5mm sieve is methods.	

¹ Adapted from the ISO/IEC Commenting template. ² Te = Technical, Ge = General, Ed=Editorial

